Reference Data: Conditions for CP Issuance (Based on CP-Issuing Enterprises)

All industries (Large Enterprises)

,	Diffusion Index ("Easy"-"Severe", %point)				
		percentage share of the number of respondents choosing each alternative, %			
		1. Easy	2. Not so severe	3. Severe	enterprises
2008 Mar.	17	20	77	3	207
Jun.	18	21	76	3	208
Sept.	13	19	75	6	207
Dec.	-55	3	39	58	201
2009 Mar.	-22	9	60	31	200
Jun.	15	27	61	12	203
Sept.	23	30	63	7	201
Dec.	<24> 24	<28> 28	<68> 68	<4> 4	<206> 203
2010 Mar.	32	35	62	3	200
Jun.	29	32	65	3	194
Sept.	30	32	66	2	192
Dec.	31	32	67	1	182
2011 Mar.	31	31	69	0	182
Jun.	26	27	72	1	171
Sept.	31	32	67	1	174

Notes (1) The *Tankan* survey (Short-Term Economic Survey of Enterprises in Japan) asks all reporting enterprises to answer the "Conditions for CP Issuance" regardless of whether they have actually issued CP. The detail of questionnaire sheet is written as the "judgement of the overall conditions of commercial paper issuance." Since some data users have shown keen interest in the restricted answer on "Conditions for CP Issuance," based on only the enterprises that have actually issued CP in the past, the Bank decided to add the new reference data, which is "Conditions for CP Issuance (Based on CP-Issuing Enterprises)" to the regular *Tankan* survey. Specifically, the Bank will calculate the judgement DI of the "Conditions for CP issuance" only using enterprises with such a reported record in the Bank's *Tankan* that showed the fact that they issued "Commercial Paper" at least once in the past two years.

- (2) The choices for this judgement item are: 1. Easy; 2. Not so severe; 3. Severe.
- (3) The figure in the parentheses for the December 2009 survey is the result of the revision conducted using sample enterprises that have been revised for the March 2010 survey.

Details

1. Manufacturing (Large Enterprises)

	Diffusion Index				
		percentage share choosing each al	Number of sample enterprises		
		1. Easy	2. Not so severe	3. Severe	emorprises
2008 Mar.	17	19	79	2	99
Jun.	19	21	77	2	100
Sept.	18	21	76	3	101
Dec.	-58	3	36	61	98
2009 Mar.	-23	11	55	34	98
Jun.	15	28	59	13	101
Sept.	21	28	65	7	101
Dec.	<25> 25	<29> 29	<67> 67	<4> 4	<104> 104
2010 Mar.	36	38	60	2	100
Jun.	33	35	63	2	97
Sept.	34	35	64	1	99
Dec.	38	38	62	0	93
2011 Mar.	34	34	66	0	91
Jun.	31	31	69	0	83
Sept.	35	35	65	0	86

2. Nonmanufactuirng (Large Enterprises)

	Diffusion Inde				
		percentage share choosing each al	Number of sample enterprises		
		1. Easy	2. Not so severe	3. Severe	chterprises
2008 Mar.	16	20	76	4	108
Jun.	15	20	75	5	108
Sept.	9	17	75	8	106
Dec.	-52	3	42	55	103
2009 Mar.	-21	7	65	28	102
Jun.	13	25	63	12	102
Sept.	26	33	60	7	100
Dec.	<21> 21	<26> 26	<69> 69	<5> 5	<102> 99
2010 Mar.	27	31	65	4	100
Jun.	25	29	67	4	97
Sept.	27	29	69	2	93
Dec.	26	27	72	1	89
2011 Mar.	29	29	71	0	91
Jun.	23	24	75	1	88
Sept.	29	30	69	1	88