## October 3, 2013 Research and Statistics Department Bank of Japan

Reference Data: Conditions for CP Issuance (Based on CP-Issuing Enterprises)

		Diffusion Index ("Easy"-"Severe", %point)				Number of	
			percentage share of the number of respondents				
			choosing each al	enterprises			
2009	Man	17	1. Easy	2. Not so severe 77	3. Severe	007	
2008	Mar.	17	20			207	
	Jun.	18	21	76	3	208	
	Sept.	13	19	75	6	207	
	Dec.	-55	3	39	58	201	
2009	Mar.	-22	9	60	31	200	
	Jun.	15	27	61	12	203	
	Sept.	23	30	63	7	201	
	Dec.	<24> 24	$<\!28\!>~28$	<68> 68	$<\!$	<206> 203	
2010	Mar.	32	35	62	3	200	
	Jun.	29	32	65	3	194	
	Sept.	30	32	66	2	192	
	Dec.	31	32	67	1	182	
2011	Mar.	31	31	69	0	182	
	Jun.	26	27	72	1	171	
	Sept.	31	32	67	1	174	
	Dec.	30	31	68	1	162	
2012	Mar.	30	32	66	2	163	
	Jun.	34	35	64	1	165	
	Sept.	29	31	67	2	163	
	Dec.	28	32	64	4	161	
2013	Mar.	24	30	64	6	161	
	Jun.	29	32	65	3	158	
	Sept.	34	37	60	3	154	

All industries (Large Enterprises)

- Notes (1) The *Tankan* survey (Short-Term Economic Survey of Enterprises in Japan) asks all reporting enterprises to answer the "Conditions for CP Issuance" regardless of whether they have actually issued CP. The detail of questionnaire sheet is written as the "judgement of the overall conditions of commercial paper issuance." Since some data users have shown keen interest in the restricted answer on "Conditions for CP Issuance," based on only the enterprises that have actually issued CP in the past, the Bank decided to add the new reference data, which is "Conditions for CP Issuance (Based on CP-Issuing Enterprises)" to the regular *Tankan* survey. Specifically, the Bank will calculate the judgement DI of the "Conditions for CP issuance" only using enterprises with such a reported record in the Bank's *Tankan* that showed the fact that they issued "Commercial Paper" at least once in the past two years.
  - (2) The choices for this judgement item are: 1. Easy; 2. Not so severe; 3. Severe.
  - (3) The figure in the parentheses for the December 2009 survey is the result of the revision conducted using sample enterprises that have been revised for the March 2010 survey.

## Details

		Diffusion Index	ex ("Easy"-"Severe", %point)			Number of sample enterprises	
			percentage share choosing each al				
			1. Easy	2. Not so severe	3. Severe	enterprises	
2008	Mar.	17	19	79	2	99	
	Jun.	19	21	77	2	100	
	Sept.	18	21	76	3	101	
	Dec.	-58	3	36	61	98	
2009	Mar.	-23	11	55	34	98	
	Jun.	15	28	59	13	101	
	Sept.	21	28	65	7	101	
	Dec.	<25> 25	<29> 29	<67> 67	$<\!$	<104> 104	
2010	Mar.	36	38	60	2	100	
	Jun.	33	35	63	2	97	
	Sept.	34	35	64	1	99	
	Dec.	38	38	62	0	93	
2011	Mar.	34	34	66	0	91	
	Jun.	31	31	69	0	83	
	Sept.	35	35	65	0	86	
	Dec.	35	35	65	0	75	
2012	Mar.	37	37	63	0	75	
	Jun.	37	38	61	1	76	
	Sept.	27	32	63	5	75	
	Dec.	27	32	63	5	75	
2013	Mar.	21	29	63	8	78	
	Jun.	29	32	65	3	78	
	Sept.	32	35	62	3	75	

1. Manufacturing (Large Enterprises)

		Diffusion Inde	ffusion Index ("Easy"-"Severe", %point)			
			percentage share choosing each al	Number of sample enterprises		
			1. Easy	2. Not so severe	3. Severe	emerprises
2008	Mar.	16	20	76	4	108
	Jun.	15	20	75	5	108
	Sept.	9	17	75	8	106
	Dec.	-52	3	42	55	103
2009	Mar.	-21	7	65	28	102
	Jun.	13	25	63	12	102
	Sept.	26	33	60	7	100
	Dec.	<21> 21	<26> 26	$<\!69\!>~69$	$<\!5\!>5$	<102> 99
2010	Mar.	27	31	65	4	100
	Jun.	25	29	67	4	97
	Sept.	27	29	69	2	93
	Dec.	26	27	72	1	89
2011	Mar.	29	29	71	0	91
	Jun.	23	24	75	1	88
	Sept.	29	30	69	1	88
	Dec.	28	29	70	1	87
2012	Mar.	24	27	70	3	88
	Jun.	32	33	66	1	89
	Sept.	30	30	70	0	88
	Dec.	31	33	65	2	86
2013	Mar.	27	31	65	4	83
	Jun.	30	33	64	3	80
	Sept.	36	39	58	3	79

## 2. Nonmanufacturing (Large Enterprises)