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Key questions for fiscal policy and social security

Income support for elderly people (Pension)

- How can we cope with uncertainties under demographic changes, while keeping social equity and fiscal sustainability?
- Can we attain a better combination of public/private pension, and a better design for public pension combining pay-as-you-go/funded systems?

Healthcare

- How can we cope with increasing demand and supply for healthcare services, while achieving better health outcomes, efficient service provision and fiscal sustainability?
- What would be possible measures for curbing healthcare spending, and financing increasing spending?
Uncertainties for demographic changes in Japan
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Uncertainties for macroeconomic variables in Japan

**Wage**

![Graph showing wage projections and actual values for different years, with projections as of 1973, 1984, and 2004.](image)

**Yield on investment**

![Graph showing yield on investment projections and actual values for different years, with projections as of 1994, 1999, and 2004.](image)

(Source) MHLW, 2016, “2014 Actuarial Valuation and Reform Options”
Uncertainties for public pension system

Demographic changes
- Longevity
- Fertility
- Migration

Macroeconomic variables
- Productivity (and long-term economic growth)
- Capital-labor ratio (and interest rate, or return on assets)
- Saving / investment balance

Societal changes
- Changes in relative size of different social groups, such as:
  employed/self-employed (formal/informal)
  urban/regional
  status of immigrants, etc.
  affecting coverage, eligibility, contribution history, and expected benefit level
Measures coping with demographic impacts on pension system

Before 2004 reform: Regular 5-year actuarial review

- Amending a **future contribution rate**
  → To assure balance between actuarial liabilities and future revenues under the currently revised projections for exogenous variables

- At the same time, negotiate for changing policy parameters, such as **benefit accrual ratios**, **eligibility age**, **indexation rules**, etc.
  → To avoid significant increases in the future contribution rate

- ‘**Defined Benefit’** scheme with 5-year renegotiations and revisions
  - Affording some incentives for policymakers to:
    - postpone raising contribution rate (assuming higher contribution rate in the future)
    - make optimistic projections for exogenous variables to avoid both cutting benefits and increasing contribution
  → **Uncertainties for future policies** about benefit and contribution, and less credibility of public pension system
Measures coping with demographic impacts on public pension system

After 2004 reform: Automatic balancing mechanism (ABM)

1. **Fixing future contribution rates**
   - Gradually increasing the EPI contribution rate on wages from 13.58% (2004) to 18.3% (2017)

2. **Automatic adjustment for benefit level**
   - Introducing a *modified indexation rule* reflecting demographic factors
     → To assure fiscal sustainability, the rule will be automatically applied for necessary periods, which will be described in regular 5-year actuarial valuations.

3. **Increasing subsidies to basic pension (NP)**
   - Using additional revenue from consumption tax raised in 2014
Measures coping with demographic impacts on pension system

Other reforms for pension system

1. **Expanding the mandatory coverage of EPI**
   - Including short-term workers [since 2017]
     (working 20 hours in a week, earning 800 USD in a month, at companies with more than 500 employees)

   $\rightarrow$ To enhance old-age income support by public pension for short-term workers

   *EPI: Employees’ Pension Insurance, providing an earning-related pension on top of a basic pension (both public, pay-as-you-go)

2. **Expanding the role of private pension and saving**
   - Introducing personal DC pension with tax allowance (iDeCo) [since 2001; expanded in 2017]
   - Expanding tax allowance for small saving by households (NISA) [since 2014]

   $\rightarrow$ To supplement smaller public pension by voluntary private saving
Measures coping with demographic impacts on pension system

### Further reforms

1. **More incentives for working longer**
   - More flexibility for pushing back the starting age of receiving public pension
   
   \[\rightarrow\] To allow working longer, and receiving more pension after the deferred starting age

2. **More re-distributional functions of public pension**
   - Need to reconsider pension benefit and tax on pension for high-income earners
   
   \[\rightarrow\] To focus the role of public pension on preventing old-age poverty

3. **More flexible adjustments of benefit level**
   - Need to reconsider ‘nominal floor’ of pension benefit adjustment
   
   \[\rightarrow\] To avoid intergenerational inequity
Objectives of a national healthcare system

**Quality of healthcare services**
- better services by competition and technological progress

Asymmetric information between patients and providers results in inefficiency, such as supplier-induced demand / increasing costs

**Decent benefit coverage with limited copayment**
- ensuring equal access by all income level citizens to decent healthcare services

**Limited fiscal burden**
- not requiring excessive fiscal resources (mandatory social contribution and general tax)

More redistribution for equity purpose requires more fiscal resources

Japan’s context:
- **Laissez-faire approach for service delivery** (dominated by private service providers, without gatekeeper functions)
- **Strict price control to contain costs** (using uniform fee schedule revised every 2 years at global and item-by-item level)

Japan’s context:
- Increasing fiscal deficit
Challenges for healthcare system under demographic changes

Higher per capita healthcare spending by elderly people

- Higher ratio of elderly people results in higher healthcare spending in total.

Health Care Spending per capita by Age Groups (CY2016)
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Higher per capita healthcare spending by elderly people

- Higher ratio of elderly people results in higher healthcare spending in total.
Challenges for healthcare system under demographic changes

- Increases in medical care spending

![Graph showing increases in medical care spending](image)

- Projection of healthcare spending

![Graph showing projection of healthcare spending](image)

(Source) MHLW, 2018, "Factor decomposition of national medical care expenditure"

(Source) Cabinet Secretariat et al., 2018, "Projections for social security 2040"; Fiscal System Council, 2018, "Long-term projections for Japan’s public finances"
Oncoming trilemma and reform options in Japan

- Enhance efficiency and productivity using data and technological progress, redesigning incentive structure, etc.
- Effective supply control mechanism regional supply plans by prefectures

Decent benefit coverage with limited copayment
- assuring equal access by all income level citizens to decent healthcare services

Quality of healthcare services
- better services by competition and technological progress

Limited fiscal burden
- not requiring excessive fiscal resources (mandatory social contribution and general tax)

- Secure fiscal resource from tax and social contribution earmarking consumption tax revenue to social security spending; broadening base for social contribution;
- Preventive healthcare, enhancing better health

- Rationalize copayment considering ability to pay (income/asset), not relying on age
- Review benefit coverage enhancing self-help for low-risk areas; reflecting effectiveness of medicine and treatment;
Measures coping with increasing healthcare service

Reform agenda and programs for curbing growth


• Optimization of the healthcare and long-term care delivery systems [11 agenda]
• Incentive reform [8 agenda]
• Industrialization in public services [4 agenda]
• Reviewing burden and benefits [4 agenda]
• Reforms relating to the dispensing of drugs and compensation for drug costs [11 agenda]


• Promoting preventive medicine and better health [18 agenda]
• Reforming healthcare service provision [31 agenda]
• Reviewing benefit and burden [10 agenda]
Measures coping with increasing healthcare service

Diversified outcomes and demand / supply across different regions (prefectures)

- Regional difference of medical care expenditure per capita (47 prefectures)
  - Max: Fukuoka prefecture +104,000 Yen
  - Average for 47 prefecture: 537,000 Yen
  - Min: Niigata prefecture -7,100 Yen

- Relationship between inpatient expenses and number of hospital beds (47 prefectures)

**Strengthening the fiscal role of local governments**

- **Enhance fiscal responsibility of local governments**
  - Establishing a clear linkage between benefit and burden at local government level, while being supported by national subsidies
    - Long-term care system: municipalities
    - Medical care system: prefectures
  - Institutionalizing mandatory supply plans made by local governments
  - Visualizing different outcomes and effectiveness to motivate reforms

(Source) MHLW
Lessons learned from Japan’s experiences

For sustainable pension and healthcare systems

- Installing flexible and automatic adjustments for benefit parameters
  → need to cope with realization of uncertainties without renegotiation / political decisions
- Effective control of demand and supply of services by fiscally responsible institutions
  → need to focus on and incentivize for better outcomes and efficiency
- Avoiding commitments based on optimistic assumptions and projections
  ✓ Ex. free healthcare provision to people aged 70 and more adopted in Japan from 1973 to 83

Need to assure sustainable growth under demographic changes

- ‘Output is central’ for assuring real benefit of social security system at national level
  → Need to carefully consider impacts of social security system on labor supply (elderly and female)
→ Need to effectively use retirement savings for long-term economic growth
→ Need to avoid significant economic distortions by further revenue mobilization